Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/630,603	MICKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Srirama Channavajjala	2166
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Srirama Channavajjala</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Dale F. Regelman, Regd. No. 45,625</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 February 2007	Time: <u>2 <i>PM</i></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1-4,6,11-12,14,16,20-21,23,25		
Prior art documents discussed: US Patent No. 5,889,935		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
de la companya de la		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

A telephone was made to applicant's representative Attorney, Dale F. Regelman, Regd. No. 45,625 about the potential amendment to the claims 1,3,6,11,16,20,25 and cancelling claims 2,4,12,14,21,23, in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment.